A new space-time discretization for the Swift-Hohenberg equation that strictly respects the Lyapunov functional
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\begin{abstract}
The Swift-Hohenberg equation is a central nonlinear model in modern physics. Originally derived to describe the onset and evolution of roll patterns in Rayleigh-Bénard convection, it has also been applied to study a variety of complex fluids and biological materials, including neural tissues. The Swift-Hohenberg equation may be derived from a Lyapunov functional using a variational argument. Here, we introduce a new fully-discrete algorithm for the Swift-Hohenberg equation which inherits the nonlinear stability property of the continuum equation irrespectively of the time step. We present several numerical examples that support our theoretical results and illustrate the efficiency, accuracy and stability of our new algorithm. We also compare our method to other existing schemes, showing that is feasible alternative to the available methods.
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\section{Introduction}

The Swift-Hohenberg model is an evolutive nonlinear higher-order Partial Differential Equation (PDE) which develops complicated dynamics. Since it was proposed in the late seventies \cite{1} as a model for the description of Rayleigh-Bénard convection \cite{2,3}, it has become one of the paradigms of nonlinear dynamical system leading to complex pattern formation \cite{4,5}. Apart from fluid convection, the Swift-Hohenberg equation has also been employed to describe complex fluids and biological tissues \cite{6}. The Swift-Hohenberg equation may be derived from a
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Lyapunov functional using a variational argument, which endows the theory with a nonlinear stability property. If inadequate algorithms are employed, this important property of the model can be lost after numerical discretization, leading to non-physical solutions. For example, an standard explicit method would require $\Delta t \sim (\Delta x)^4$ for the discrete solution to be energy-decreasing. This imposes a severe restriction over the periods of time that can be simulated. Here we present a fully discrete algorithm that inherits the nonlinear stability of the continuum model irrespectively of the mesh and time step sizes (in what follows, an algorithm verifying this property will be called unconditionally stable or thermodynamically consistent). Thus, our algorithm eliminates the restriction over the time step and opens the possibility to perform simulations over very large periods of time with the additional guarantee that the numerical solution will satisfy an important physical property of the model.

Thermodynamically consistent algorithms have been extensively studied in solid [7–10] and fluid mechanics [11–14], but remain rather unexplored for complex pattern-forming PDE's (some exceptions may be found in [15–24]). Previous work on the numerical simulation of the Swift-Hohenberg equation includes [25–31]. Remarkably, thermodynamically consistent algorithms for the Swift-Hohenberg equation have been proposed in [32,20]. Here we present a new space-time discretization for the Swift-Hohenberg that is unconditionally stable and second-order time-accurate. The space discretization of our algorithm is based on variational forms whose well-posedness requires the use of globally $C^1$-continuous basis functions. We satisfy this requirement using Isogeometric Analysis [33,34], a recently proposed generalization of the Finite Element Method, that permits generating higher-order and higher-continuity basis functions. Compared to standard finite element formulations based on mixed methods, our method leads to half of the global number of degrees of freedom and exhibits better approximability properties than widely used $C^0$-continuous piecewise polynomials [35]. For these reasons, our method is very attractive for the simulation of extended systems over large periods of time.

We present several numerical examples that support our theorems proving second-order time accuracy and unconditional stability. These computations are related to fluid convection on square and circular domains. The outline of this paper is as follows: In section 2, we describe the Swift-Hohenberg equation. Section 3 presents our algorithm for this equation. We present numerical examples in section 4. Finally, we draw conclusions in section 5.

2 The Swift-Hohenberg equation

The Swift-Hohenberg equation describes the onset and evolution of roll patterns in Rayleigh-Bénard convection [36–39]. It may be derived from the fundamental equations of fluid mechanics in the limit of large Prandtl number, under the assumption of the Boussinesq approximation [1]. However, for the purpose of this work, it is more useful to derive it as a dissipative evolution equation of a non-conserved phase variable. By dissipative equation, we understand one for which a Lyapunov functional exists. In what follows, we introduce the Lyapunov functional of the Swift-Hohenberg equation, and derive an evolution equation whose solutions lead to a time-decreasing Lyapunov functional.
2.1 Lyapunov functional

Let \( u \) be a scalar phase variable defined on \( \Omega \), an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Let us call \( \Gamma \) the boundary of \( \Omega \). We assume \( \Gamma \) to have a continuous unit outward normal \( n \). We define the following free-energy functional

\[
F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \Psi(u) + \frac{D}{2} \left[ (\Delta u)^2 - 2k^2|\nabla u|^2 + k^4u^2 \right] \right\} dx
\]

where \( D \) and \( k \) are real constants, and \( \Psi \) is a nonlinear function of \( u \), defined as

\[
\Psi(u) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}u^2 - \frac{g}{3}u^3 + \frac{1}{4}u^4
\]

Here \( \epsilon \) and \( g \) are positive constants, which represent physically relevant quantities. In what follows, we introduce the Swift-Hohenberg model, and show that \( F \) is indeed a Lyapunov functional of the equation.

2.2 The Swift-Hohenberg equation

The Swift-Hohenberg equation may be written as

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta u}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = \Psi'(u) + Dk^4u + 2Dk^2\Delta u + D\Delta^2 u
\]

denotes the variational derivative of \( F \) with respect to variations \( \delta u \) that verify \( \delta u = \nabla(\delta u) \cdot n = 0 \) on \( \Gamma \). Let us introduce the real-valued function \( F \) defined as \( F(t) = F(u(\cdot, t)) \). Multiplying equation (3) with \( \delta F/\delta u \), and integrating over the domain \( \Omega \), we obtain the expression

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} = -\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} \right)^2 dx
\]

which leads to the inequality

\[
\frac{dF}{dt} \leq 0.
\]

The expression (6) may be thought of as a purely mechanical version of the Clausius-Duhem inequality [40] (continuum version of the second law of thermodynamics), and we consider it the fundamental stability property of the Swift-Hohenberg equation. The objective of this paper is to develop a fully discrete numerical method which inherits this property irrespectively of the mesh and time step sizes.

2.3 Initial/boundary-value problem

We state the following initial/boundary-value problem for the Swift-Hohenberg equation over the spatial domain \( \Omega \) and the time interval \((0, T)\): given \( u_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \), find \( u : \Omega \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\mu(u) - D k^4 u - 2 D k^2 \Delta u - D \Delta^2 u \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (7)\]

\[\nabla (2 D k^2 u + D \Delta u) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, T] \quad (8)\]

\[\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, T] \quad (9)\]

\[u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (10)\]

where \(\mu(u)\) stands for \(\Psi'(u)\). Equations (8)–(9) may be considered natural boundary conditions of the Swift-Hohenberg equation in a variational formulation. In practice, most calculations involving the Swift-Hohenberg equation are performed using periodic boundary conditions in all directions.

### 3 Numerical formulation for the Swift-Hohenberg equation

In this section we present our numerical formulation for the Swift-Hohenberg equation. We first derive a semidiscrete form, and then introduce our unconditionally stable time-integration scheme.

#### 3.1 Semidiscrete formulation

Our starting point is the weak formulation of the continuous problem. At this point we assume periodic boundary conditions in all directions. Let us call \(\mathcal{V}\) the space of trial and weighting functions which are assumed to be the same. We suppose \(\mathcal{V} \subset H^2\), where \(H^2\) is the Sobolev space of square integrable periodic functions with square integrable first and second derivatives. The problem may be stated as follows: find \(u \in \mathcal{V}\) such that for all \(w \in \mathcal{V}\)

\[\left( w, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mu(u) + D k^4 u \right) - \left( \nabla w, 2 D k^2 \nabla u \right) + (\Delta w, D \Delta u) = 0 \quad (11)\]

where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) is the \(L^2\)-inner product with respect to the domain \(\Omega\).

To perform the space discretization of (11) we employ Galerkin’s method. We approximate (11) by the following finite-dimensional problem over the finite element space \(\mathcal{V}^h \subset \mathcal{V}\): find \(u^h \in \mathcal{V}^h\) such that for all \(w^h \in \mathcal{V}^h\)

\[\left( w^h, \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t} + \mu(u^h) + D k^4 u^h \right) - \left( \nabla w^h, 2 D k^2 \nabla u^h \right) + (\Delta w^h, D \Delta u^h) = 0 \quad (12)\]

We define the discrete space \(\mathcal{V}^h\) as \(\mathcal{V}^h = \text{span}\{N_A\}_{A=1,...,n_b}\), where the \(N_A\)’s are basis functions yet to be defined, and \(n_b\) is the dimension of the discrete space. As a consequence, \(u^h\) in equation (12) may be written as,

\[u^h(x, t) = \sum_{A=1}^{n_b} u_A(t) N_A(x) \quad (13)\]

where the \(u_A\)’s are the coordinates of \(u^h\) on \(\mathcal{V}^h\). The function \(w^h\) is defined analogously.

We emphasize that the condition \(\mathcal{V}^h \subset \mathcal{V}\) requires the discrete space to be a subset of \(H^2\). Standard \(C^0\)-continuous finite elements do not satisfy this requirement, and may not be utilized directly in the variational formulation (12). To handle this situation, we employ Isogeometric
Analysis [33,34], which is a generalization of Finite Element Analysis [41] possessing several advantages [42–52]. Isogeometric Analysis is a recently introduced technology that is based on the developments of Computer Aided Design (CAD). Ideally, it would permit generating computational meshes directly from geometrical models encapsulated in CAD files, making use of the underlying parametrization of the CAD design. This holds promise to simplify, or even eliminate altogether, the mesh generation and refinement process, currently the major bottleneck of analysis. Following the isoparametric concept, the geometrical parametrization is also employed to generate the discrete space used to approximate the solution. Geometrical models in CAD files are usually parametrized using Non-Uniform Rational B-Splines (NURBS). NURBS are projective transformations of B-Splines, which, in turn, are piecewise polynomials [53,54]. NURBS not only permit generating computational models from CAD designs, but have also shown superior approximation capabilities compared to classical piecewise polynomials [50]. Even more importantly for this paper, the use of NURBS permits generating globally $C^1$-continuous basis functions easily, which leads to simple treatment of higher-order operators and has proved significantly accurate and robust [18,35,55,56]. In what follows we show how to generate our basis functions and discrete spaces.

3.2 Basis functions and discrete space

Here we show how to generate the NURBS basis functions that we employ for spatial discretization. The first step is to define a one-dimensional B-Spline basis in parametric space. A B-Spline basis is a set of $n$ piecewise polynomial functions of order $p$ denoted by $\{B_{i,p}\}_{i=1,...,n}$. These functions are defined from a knot vector, which is an array containing $n+p+1$ non-decreasing coordinates in parametric space called knots. We consider the knot vector $K_\xi = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{n+p+1}\}$.

$$K_\xi = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{n+p+1}\}. \quad (14)$$

which defines the parametric space $[\xi_1, \xi_{n+p+1}]$. Since the functions will be eventually mapped into physical space, we may assume without loss of generality $\xi_1 = 0$ and $\xi_{n+p+1} = 1$. Given a knot vector, the B-Spline basis functions of order $p$ are defined recursively from their lower-order counterparts. The process is started with the zero-th order functions $\{B_{i,0}\}_{i=1,...,n}$ given by

$$B_{i,0}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi_i \leq \xi \leq \xi_{i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Then, the following algorithm is applied

$$B_{i,a}(\xi) = \frac{\xi - \xi_i}{\xi_{i+p} - \xi_i} B_{i,a-1}(\xi) + \frac{\xi_{i+p+1} - \xi}{\xi_{i+p+1} - \xi_{i+1}} B_{i+1,a-1}(\xi); \quad i = 1, \ldots, n; \quad a = 1, \ldots, p. \quad (16)$$

The functions $\{B_{i,p}\}_{i=1,...,n}$ are $C^\infty$ everywhere except at knots. At a non-repeated knot, the functions have $p-1$ continuous derivatives. If a knot is repeated $k$ times the number of continuous derivatives at that point is $p-1-k$.

A three-dimensional B-Spline basis is defined taking tensor products of one-dimensional basis in three orthogonal parametric directions. Therefore, given three polynomial orders $p$, $q$, $r$, and three knot vectors, $K_\xi$, $K_\eta$, $K_\zeta$ of lengths $n+p+1$, $m+q+1$, $l+r+1$, we can compute

$$B_{ijk}(\xi,\eta,\zeta) = B_{i,p}(\xi)B_{j,q}(\eta)B_{k,r}(\zeta). \quad (17)$$
Analogously to the one-dimensional case, the knot vectors define the parametric space, which we denote $\Xi$. Again, for simplicity, we take $\Xi = [0, 1]^3$. Using the three-dimensional B-Spline basis functions we can generate a geometric mapping $F : \Xi \mapsto \Omega$

$$F(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+r+1} C_{ijk} B_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$$

(18)

which defines the geometric object $\Omega$. The values $C_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are called control variables.

At this point, we may define NURBS geometrical objects in $\mathbb{R}^d$, which are projective transformations of B-Spline geometrical entities in $\mathbb{R}^{(d+1)}$. Let $\widehat{C}_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be a set of control points in three-dimensional space and $\omega_{ijk}$ a set of positive real numbers called weights such that $(\widehat{C}_{ijk}, w_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. We define the following B-Spline geometrical object in $\mathbb{R}^4$ as,

$$\hat{\Omega} = \widehat{F}(\Xi)$$

(19)

where

$$\widehat{F}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+r+1} (\widehat{C}_{ijk}, w_{ijk})B_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \quad (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \Xi$$

(20)

The NURBS object $\Omega_R$ is defined as

$$\Omega_R = F_R(\Xi)$$

(21)

where the geometrical mapping $F_R$ takes the form,

$$F_R(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+r+1} \frac{\widehat{C}_{ijk} w_{ijk} B_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}{w_{ijk} \sum_{a=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{b=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{c=1}^{l+r+1} w_{abc} B_{abc}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}, \quad (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \Xi$$

(22)

Denoting,

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{\widehat{C}_{ijk}}{w_{ijk}}, \quad W(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+r+1} w_{ijk} B_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \quad R_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \frac{w_{ijk} B_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}{W(\xi, \eta, \zeta)}$$

(23)

we have that

$$F_R(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{m+q+1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+r+1} C_{ijk} R_{ijk}(\xi, \eta, \zeta), \quad (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in \Xi$$

(24)

We will call $R_{ijk}$ NURBS functions in parametric space.

NURBS functions in physical space are defined as the push forward of the functions $R_{ijk}$. Thus, the discrete space that we use for our numerical method is the space spanned by those functions, namely

$$\mathcal{V}^h = \text{span}\{R_{ijk} \circ F_R^{-1}\}.$$  

(25)

Note that we invoke the isoparametric concept, because the geometrical mapping $F_R$ is defined in terms of NURBS functions.
3.3 Time integration

Here we present our time integration algorithm for the Swift-Hohenberg equation. Let us divide the time interval \([0, T]\) into \(N\) subintervals \(I_n = (t_n, t_{n+1}); n = 0, \ldots, N - 1\), where \(t_0 = 0\) and \(t_N = T\). We call \(u_n^h\) the discrete approximation of \(u^h(t_n)\), where we have omitted the dependence on the spatial coordinate for simplicity. Our time stepping algorithm is defined as follows: given \(u_n^h\), find \(u_{n+1}^h \in \mathcal{V}^h\) such that for all \(w^h \in \mathcal{V}^h\)

\[
\left( w^h, \frac{[u_n^h]}{\Delta t_n} \right) + \left( w^h, \frac{1}{2} (\mu(u_{n+1}^h) + \mu(u_n^h)) - \frac{[u_n^h]^2}{12} \mu''(u_n^h) \right) + \\
\left( w^h, Dk^4 u_{n+1/2}^h \right) - \left( \nabla w^h, 2Dk^2 \nabla u_{n+1}^h \right) + \left( \Delta w^h, D \Delta u_{n+1}^h \right) = 0
\]

where

\[
\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n; \quad [u_n^h] = u_{n+1}^h - u_n^h; \quad u_{n+1/2}^h = \frac{1}{2} (u_{n+1}^h + u_n^h)
\]

We summarize the main properties of our time integration scheme in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** The fully-discrete variational formulation (26):

1. **Verifies the nonlinear stability condition**

   \[
   \mathcal{F}(u_n^h) \leq \mathcal{F}(u_{n-1}^h) \quad \forall n = 1, \ldots, N
   \]

   irrespectively of the time step.

2. **Gives rise to a local truncation error \(\tau\) that may be bounded as**

   \[
   |\tau(t_n)| \leq K \Delta t_n^2 \quad \forall t_n \in [0, T], \text{ where } K \text{ is a constant independent of } \Delta t_n.
   \]

**Proof:**

1. Let \(f : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}\) be a sufficiently smooth function. We will make use of the following quadrature formula:

\[
\int_a^b f(x)dx = \frac{b-a}{2} (f(a) + f(b)) - \frac{(b-a)^3}{12} f''(a) - \frac{(b-a)^4}{24} f'''(\xi); \quad \xi \in (a, b)
\]

which was introduced in [18]. Let us apply the quadrature formula (28) to the right-hand side of the identity

\[
\int_{u_n^h}^{u_{n+1}^h} \Psi'(z)dz = \int_{u_n^h}^{u_{n+1}^h} \mu(z)dz
\]

and rearrange the resulting equation. It follows that

\[
\left[ \frac{\Psi(u_n^h)}{[u_n^h]} \right] + \frac{[u_n^h]^3}{24} \mu'''(u_{n+\xi}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu(u_n^h) + \mu(u_{n+1}^h)) - \frac{[u_n^h]^2}{12} \mu''(u_n^h); \quad \xi \in (0, 1)
\]

Taking \(w^h = [u_n^h]\) in equation (26), applying equation (30), and making use of the identities

\[
\left( [u_n^h], u_{n+1/2}^h \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (u_n^h)^2 \right] dx; \quad \left( \nabla [u_n^h], \nabla u_{n+1/2}^h \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u_n^h|^2 \right] dx
\]
We derive a bound on the local truncation error by replacing the time-continuous solution \( u^h(t_n) \) into the algorithm (26). The time-continuous solution does not satisfy the algorithm, giving rise to the local truncation error \( \tau \), which is defined by the expression

\[
(w^h, \tau(t_n)) = \left( w^h, \frac{[u^h(t_n)]}{\Delta t_n} \right) + \left( w^h, \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu(u^h(t_{n+1})) + \mu(u^h(t_n)) \right) \right) \\
- \left( w^h, \frac{[u^h(t_n)]^2}{12} \mu''(u^h(t_n)) \right) + \left( w^h, Dk^2 u^h(t_{n+1/2}) \right) \\
- \left( \nabla w^h, 2Dk^2 \nabla u^h(t_{n+1/2}) \right) + \left( \Delta u^h, D \Delta u^h(t_{n+1/2}) \right)
\]

where \( t_{n+1/2} = (t_{n+1} + t_n)/2 \). Assuming sufficient smoothness, Taylor series can be utilized to prove that

\[
\frac{[u^h(t_n)]}{\Delta t_n} = \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t}(t_{n+1/2}) + O(\Delta t_n^2)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \mu(u^h(t_{n+1})) + \mu(u^h(t_n)) \right) = \mu(u^h(t_{n+1/2})) + O(\Delta t_n^2)
\]

\[
\frac{[u^h(t_n)]^2}{12} \mu''(u^h(t_n)) = O(\Delta t_n^2)
\]

where we have made use of the Landau notation. Equations (36)–(39), together with (12) lead to the identity

\[
(w^h, \tau(t_n)) = \left( w^h, O(\Delta t_n^2) \right)
\]

which indicates that \(|\tau(t_n)| \leq K \Delta t_n^2\) where \( K \) is a constant independent of \( \Delta t_n \). 

\(\square\)
4 Numerical examples

In this section we present some numerical examples for the Swift-Hohenberg equation. Our calculations also provide numerical corroboration of the theoretical results presented in the previous sections. We also present a comparison of the performance of our new algorithm with other existing techniques. Finally, we present two examples related to the formation of roll patterns in Rayleigh-Bénard convection both in square and circular domains.

4.1 Accuracy test

This example provides numerical evidence for our time integration scheme being second-order accurate. The setup of this accuracy test is based on that presented in [20]. We solve the one-dimensional Swift-Hohenberg equation on the domain \( \Omega = [0,32] \). The parameters of the Swift-Hohenberg equation are \( D = k = 1, \epsilon = 0.025, \) and \( g = 0 \). The initial condition is defined as:

\[
  u(x) = 0.07 - 0.02 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi(x - 12)}{32} \right) + 0.0171 \cos^2 \left( \frac{2\pi(x + 10)}{32} \right) - 0.0085 \sin^2 \left( \frac{4\pi x}{32} \right) \tag{41}
\]

We computed a reference solution at time \( t = 1 \) using a spatial mesh composed of 256 \( C^1 \) quadratic elements, and a time step \( \Delta t = 7.8125 \times 10^{-3} \). We assume that this space-time discretization is fine enough as to suppose that the reference solution is exact. Then, we repeated the computation using larger time steps, and studied how the \( L^2([0,32]) \) spatial error norm evolved as a function of \( \Delta t \). The results are presented on a doubly logarithmic scale in Figure 1. The data defines a straight line with slope 2.67, which confirms the results proven in Theorem 1.

4.2 Comparison with other methods

In this section, we compare the performance of our new numerical scheme with other well-established techniques. The performance of our spatial discretization, that is, NURBS functions in a variational formulation, has been shown superior to standard finite elements on a per-degree-of-freedom basis in several publications [42,48,50,51]. For this reason, we will focus on the time discretization algorithm. We take a spatial mesh which is sufficiently fine as to suppose that all the error can be attributed to time integration. Then, we compare the performance of our new time integration method with the following algorithms: (1) a semi-implicit method that treats the nonlinear terms explicitly and the linear terms implicitly; (2) the explicit first-order accurate exponential time integrator presented in [57]; (3) the convex-splitting method proposed in [20] that has been shown to be unconditionally stable for the Swift-Hohenberg equation; (4) the midpoint rule.

To perform the comparison we solve the Swift-Hohenberg equation on the domain \( \overline{\Omega} = [0,40]^2 \). The parameters are \( D = k = 1, \epsilon = 2, \) and \( g = 0 \). The initial condition is a constant state \( u = -1 \) in which we embed a curvy vertical stripe with the phase variable taking the value \( u = 1 \). The initial pattern evolves developing horizontal fingers that might bifurcate. Figure 2 shows the initial condition and solution at times \( t = 20 \) and \( t = 40 \) on a sufficiently fine space-
Fig. 1. Accuracy test. $L^2([0, 32])$ spatial error norm with respect to the time step $\Delta t$. This plot confirms that our algorithm is second-order time-accurate.

Fig. 2. Comparison with other methods. Initial condition and reference solution at different times. The computational domain is $\Omega = [0, 40]^2$. This solution has been computed on a sufficiently small space-time mesh.

The color scale ranges from $-1.75$ (blue) to $+1.75$ (red), and will be maintained for all the examples in this section. We consider the solution in Figure 2 as our reference solution. We will compare the results produced by all the above-mentioned methods at time $t = 40$.

Figure 3 shows the results produced by the semi-implicit scheme at time $t = 40$ using different time steps. For $\Delta t = 0.25$ the solution is totally incorrect. Qualitative agreement is obtained for $\Delta t = 0.125$, $\Delta t = 0.0625$, and $\Delta t = 0.03125$. For smaller time steps, the solution is almost indistinguishable from the reference solution.
Figure 3. Comparison with other methods. Numerical solution at $t = 40$ with the semi-implicit time integrator using different time steps.

Figure 4 presents the free energy evolution for the semi-implicit method using different time steps. For $\Delta t = 0.25$, the free energy is oscillating, which indicates that the computed solution is incorrect. For smaller time steps, the energy does decrease in time, but the dissipation rate is somewhat underestimated. For the two smallest time steps ($\Delta t = 0.015625$ and $\Delta t = 0.0078125$), the energy curves are one on top of each other, which is a sign of numerical convergence.

Figure 5 shows the solution at time $t = 40$ using the exponential time integrator and different time steps. This method permits taking time steps larger than those used for the semi-implicit algorithm. The solution for $\Delta t = 0.5$ (Figure 5(a)) is incorrect. This is also reflected in the energy plot (Figure 6), which shows an oscillating evolution. For smaller time steps, the solution looks qualitatively correct, but quantitative match is only achieved for the two smallest time steps ($\Delta t = 0.03125$ and $\Delta t = 0.015625$). This can also be observed in the energy plot (Figure 6) in which the curves corresponding to those time steps are superposed.

Figure 7 shows the solution using the convex-splitting algorithm proposed in [20]. This method has been shown to be unconditionally stable for the Swift-Hohenberg equation. This property is certainly reflected in the energy plot (Figure 8), which shows time-decreasing energies for all time steps. However, it is known that algorithms based on the convex-splitting concept tend to be significantly inaccurate for large time steps [18]. This statement is consistent with the results in Figure 7, which show inaccurate solutions at least for $\Delta t = 1$ and $\Delta t = 0.5$. As we reduce the time step, the solution becomes more accurate, exhibiting good agreement with the
Fig. 4. Comparison with other methods. Energy evolution for several time steps using the semi-implicit algorithm.

reference solution for $\Delta t = 0.0625$.

Now, we analyze the results produced by the midpoint rule. This algorithm is a standard second-order accurate method. For a linear problem it is known to have the lowest truncation error of all second-order accurate $A$-stable linear multistep methods [59]. Another feature of the midpoint rule that holds for linear problems is that the algorithm preserves the highest frequency of the numerical solution at each time step, which makes it difficult to damp out the spurious modes of the approximate solution. This feature of the method may be observed in Figure 9(a), which represents the numerical solution at $t = 40$ using the time step $\Delta t = 8$. This image clearly shows how the high frequencies contained in the initial condition remain in the solution after several time steps, leading to a significantly inaccurate solution. For $\Delta t = 4$, Figure 9(b), the solution looks still shaky, showing again the inability of the algorithm to handle the high frequencies of the solution. For smaller time steps the solution is accurate and smooth, and appears almost indistinguishable from the reference solution.

Figure 10 shows the energy evolution produced by the midpoint rule for the analyzed time steps. All the curves are monotonically decreasing, which indicates that, for this particular problem and the selected time steps, the midpoint rule respects the stability property of the Swift-Hohenberg equation. However, this result does not necessarily hold for other problems or time steps, because the midpoint rule does not achieve unconditional stability for the Swift-Hohenberg equation.

Finally, Figure 11 shows the solution using our new algorithm. We observe that our method permits using significantly larger time steps than the semi-implicit, the exponential and the convex-splitting algorithms. The time steps employed are comparable to those utilized for the midpoint rule. It may be said that for small and intermediate time steps our method produces results similar to those achieved by the midpoint rule. However, for very large time steps ($\Delta t =$...
Fig. 5. Comparison with other methods. Numerical solution at \( t = 40 \) with the exponential time integrator using different time steps.

Although the solution is inaccurate, is still smooth and its associated energy decreases with time. The numerical solution actually looks like the exact solution at an earlier time (compare Figure 2 with Figure 11(a)). Physically speaking, it may be said that the method defers the dynamics of the equation for large time steps. This seems to be a feature of unconditionally stable methods for nonlinear dynamics [18,20,58], and may be also observed in the results produced by the convex splitting method (Figure 7). However, the convex-splitting scheme is significantly less accurate than our method. In all, we may conclude that our algorithm represents a good balance between accuracy and stability, with the additional guarantee of energy-decreasing solutions, as shown in Figure 12. This plot also shows that the dissipation rate is underestimated for large time steps, which is consistent with the statement that the dynamics of the equation is deferred for large time steps.

4.3 Swift-Hohenberg equation on a periodic square

Here we present the numerical solution to the Swift-Hohenberg equation on the domain \( \Omega = [0, 1200]^2 \). We assume periodic boundary conditions in both directions. We take the parameters \( D = k = 1, \epsilon = 0.1, g = 0 \). To define our initial condition, we set all control variables to zero, and then, randomly perturb their values with a pseudo-random number which is uniformly distributed on \([-0.005, 0.005]\). We employ an uniform spatial mesh composed of \( 2048^2 \) \( C^1 \)-quadratic elements. The time step is \( \Delta t = 20 \).
Figure 13 shows snapshots of the time-history of the phase variable. We observe that the initial condition induces an instability into the equation that leads to amplification of the solution and to the emergence of spatial patterns. Those patterns are composed of stripped regions with zero- and one-dimensional defects as observed in Figures 13(a)-(b). Global reorganization of the patterns leads to larger defect-free regions (Figures 13(c)-(d)) which eventually fill up the whole domain. The simulation results suggest that for this set of parameters the equation presents a stationary solution corresponding to an ordered stripped pattern as shown in Figures 13(e)-(f).

Figure 14 shows the time evolution of the energy functional (1). We appended some snapshots of the solution to the free-energy curve. Note that the time scale is logarithmic, because the dynamics of the equation becomes slower as time evolves. We observe that the energy functional diminishes at all times, which confirms our theoretical predictions.

### 4.4 Swift-Hohenberg equation on a disk

We present the numerical solution to the Swift-Hohenberg equation on a disk. This geometry belongs to the class of conic sections that can be exactly reproduced by NURBS. To generate this geometry we employ quadratic basis functions and the parametrization defined in [60]. This leads to a mapping with four singular points on the boundary, as shown in the mesh picture presented in Figure 15(a). These singularities did not produce any issues in the calculations. The radius disk is 15, and the computational mesh is composed of $128^2 \ C^1$ quadratic elements. On the boundary we set homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The time step is $\Delta t = 5$.

The parameters of the Swift-Hohenberg equation are $D = k = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $g = 1$. The initial
Fig. 7. Comparison with other methods. Numerical solution at $t = 40$ with the convex splitting algorithm using different time steps.

The Swift-Hohenberg equation is a higher-order nonlinear partial differential equation endowed with a nonlinear stability property. This equation governs the formation and evolution of roll patterns in Rayleigh-Bénard convection. We introduce a new space-time discretization that inherits the nonlinear stability relationship of the continuous equation irrespectively of the mesh and time step sizes, and that is second-order time-accurate. We present several numerical examples dealing with fluid convection on square and circular domains. These examples support our theoretical results and show the accuracy, efficiency and robustness of our new method. We also compare our method to other existing schemes, showing that is feasible alternative to the

5 Conclusions

The Swift-Hohenberg equation is a higher-order nonlinear partial differential equation endowed with a nonlinear stability property. This equation governs the formation and evolution of roll patterns in Rayleigh-Bénard convection. We introduce a new space-time discretization that inherits the nonlinear stability relationship of the continuous equation irrespectively of the mesh and time step sizes, and that is second-order time-accurate. We present several numerical examples dealing with fluid convection on square and circular domains. These examples support our theoretical results and show the accuracy, efficiency and robustness of our new method. We also compare our method to other existing schemes, showing that is feasible alternative to the
Fig. 8. Comparison with other methods. Energy evolution for several time steps using the convex splitting method.

available methods.
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Fig. 11. Comparison with other methods. Numerical solution at $t = 40$ with the proposed algorithm using different time steps.


Fig. 12. Comparison with other methods. Energy evolution for several time steps using the proposed method. We observe that for $\Delta t = 1$, $\Delta t = 0.5$ and $\Delta t = 0.25$ the curves are almost superposed, which indicates numerical convergence.


Fig. 13. Swift-Hohenberg equation on a periodic square. Snapshots of the numerical approximation to the phase variable $u$ at different computational times. The parameters of the equation are $D = k = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $g = 0$. The spatial mesh is composed of $2048^2$ $C^1$-quadratic elements. The time step is $\Delta t = 20$. 
Fig. 14. Swift-Hohenberg equation on a periodic square. Time evolution of the energy functional. We appended some snapshots of the solution to the free-energy curve. We observe that the energy functional diminishes at all times, which confirms our theoretical predictions.


Fig. 15. Swift-Hohenberg equation on a disk. Computational mesh (a), and snapshots of the numerical approximation to the phase variable $u$ at different computational times (b)–(d). The parameters of the equation are $D = k = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.1$, and $g = 1$. The spatial mesh is composed of $128^2$ $C^1$-quadratic elements. The time step is $\Delta t = 5$.


Fig. 16. Swift-Hohenberg equation on a disk. Time evolution of the energy functional. We appended some snapshots of the solution to the free-energy curve. These snapshots show that fast time-variations of the free-energy correspond to abrupt variations in the spatial pattern. We observe that the energy functional diminishes at all times, which confirms our theoretical predictions.


